111TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION

S. 1236

To amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to transition to the use of metropolitan statistical areas as fee schedule areas for the physician fee schedule in California under the Medicare program.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

June 11, 2009

Mrs. Feinstein (for herself and Mrs. Boxer) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on Finance

A BILL

To amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to transition to the use of metropolitan statistical areas as fee schedule areas for the physician fee schedule in California under the Medicare program.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
- 3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
- 4 This Act may be cited as the "GPCI Justice Act of
- 5 2009".
- 6 SEC. 2. FINDINGS.
- 7 Congress finds the following:

- 1 (1) From 1966 through 1991, the Medicare 2 program paid physicians based on what they charged 3 for services. The Omnibus Reconciliation Act of 4 1989 required the establishment of a national Medi-5 care physician fee schedule, which was implemented 6 in 1992, replacing the charge-based system.
 - (2) The Medicare physician fee schedule currently includes more than 7,000 services together with their corresponding payment rates. In addition, each service on the fee schedule has three relative value units (RVUs) that correspond to the three physician payment components of physician work, practice expense, and malpractice expense.
 - (3)(A) Each geographically adjusted RVU measures the relative costliness of providing a particular service in a particular location referred to as a locality. Physician payment localities are primarily consolidations of the carrier-defined localities that were established in 1966.
 - (B) When physician payment localities were redesignated in 1997, the Administrator of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services acknowledged that the new payment locality configuration had not been established on a consistent geographic basis. Some were based on zip codes or Metropolitan Sta-

- tistical Areas (MSAs) while others were based on po litical boundaries, such as cities, counties, or States.
 - (C) The Medicare program has not revised the geographic boundaries of the physician payment localities since the 1997 revision.
 - (4) Medicare's geographic adjustment for a particular physician payment locality is determined using three GPCIs (Geographic Practice Cost Indices) that also correspond to the three Medicare physician payment components of physician work, practice expense, and malpractice expense.
 - (5) The major data source used in calculating the GPCIs is the decennial census which provides new data only once every 10 years.
 - (6) This system of geographic payment designation has resulted in more than half of the current physician payment localities having counties within them with a large payment difference of 5 percent or more. A disproportionate number of these underpaid counties are located in California, Georgia, Minnesota, Ohio, and Virginia.
 - (7) For purposes of payment under the Medicare program, hospitals are organized and reimbursed for geographic costs according to MSAs.

- 1 (8) Studies by the Medicare Payment Advisory 2 Commission (MedPAC) in 2007, the Government 3 Accountability Office (GAO) in 2007, the Urban In-4 stitute in 2008, and Acumen LLC in 2008 have all 5 documented this physician GPCI payment discrep-6 ancy—specifically that more than half of the current 7 physician payment localities had counties within 8 them with a large payment difference (that is, a pay-9 ment difference of 5 percent or more) between 10 GAO's measure of physicians' costs and Medicare's 11 geographic adjustment for an area. All these objec-12 tive studies have recommended changes to the local-13 ity system to correct the payment discrepancies.
- 14 (9) A common recommendation among the 15 GPCI payment discrepancy studies referred to in 16 paragraph (8) is to eliminate the county-based local-17 ity and replace it with one determined by Metropoli-18 tan Statistical Area.
- 19 SEC. 3. REDESIGNATING THE GEOGRAPHICAL PRACTICE
- 20 COST INDEX (GPCI) LOCALITIES IN CALI-
- 21 FORNIA.
- 22 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1848(e) of the Social Se-
- 23 curity Act (42 U.S.C.1395w-4(e)) is amended by adding
- 24 at the end the following new paragraph:

1	"(6) Transition to use of msas as fee
2	SCHEDULE AREAS IN CALIFORNIA.—
3	"(A) In general.—
4	"(i) Revision.—Subject to clause (ii)
5	and notwithstanding the previous provi-
6	sions of this subsection, for services fur-
7	nished on or after January 1, 2010, the
8	Secretary shall revise the fee schedule
9	areas used for payment under this section
10	applicable to the State of California using
11	the Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA)
12	iterative Geographic Adjustment Factor
13	methodology as follows:
14	"(I) The Secretary shall con-
15	figure the physician fee schedule areas
16	using the Core-Based Statistical
17	Areas—Metropolitan Statistical Areas
18	(each in this paragraph referred to as
19	an 'MSA'), as defined by the Director
20	of the Office of Management and
21	Budget, as the basis for the fee sched-
22	ule areas. The Secretary shall employ
23	an iterative process to transition fee
24	schedule areas. First, the Secretary
25	shall list all MSAs within the State by

1 Geographic Adjustment Factor de-2 scribed in paragraph (2) (in this para-3 graph referred to as a 'GAF') in de-4 scending order. In the first iteration, 5 the Secretary shall compare the GAF 6 of the highest cost MSA in the State 7 to the weighted-average GAF of the 8 group of remaining MSAs in the 9 State. If the ratio of the GAF of the 10 highest cost MSA to the weighted-av-11 erage GAF of the rest of State is 1.05 12 or greater then the highest cost MSA 13 becomes a separate fee schedule area. 14 "(II) In the next iteration, the 15 Secretary shall compare the MSA of 16 the second-highest GAF to the weight-17 ed-average GAF of the group of re-18 maining MSAs. If the ratio of the sec-19 MSA's GAF ond-highest 20 weighted-average of the remaining 21 lower cost MSAs is 1.05 or greater, 22 the second-highest MSA becomes a 23 separate fee schedule area. The 24 iterative process continues until the

ratio of the GAF of the highest-cost

1	remaining MSA to the weighted-aver-
2	age of the remaining lower-cost MSAs
3	is less than 1.05, and the remaining
4	group of lower cost MSAs form a sin-
5	gle fee schedule area, If two MSAs
6	have identical GAFs, they shall be
7	combined in the iterative comparison.
8	"(ii) Transition.—For services fur-
9	nished on or after January 1, 2010, in the
10	State of California, after calculating the
11	work, practice expense, and malpractice ge-
12	ographic indices described in clauses (i),
13	(ii), and (iii) of paragraph (1)(A) that
14	would otherwise apply through application
15	of this paragraph, the Secretary shall in-
16	crease any such index to the county-based
17	fee schedule area value on December 31,
18	2009, if such index would otherwise be less
19	than the value on January 1, 2010.
20	"(B) Subsequent revisions.—
21	"(i) Periodic review and adjust-
22	MENTS IN FEE SCHEDULE AREAS.—Subse-
23	quent to the process outlined in paragraph
24	(1)(C), not less often than every three

years, the Secretary shall review and up-

date the California Rest-of-State fee schedule area using MSAs as defined by the Director of the Office of Management and
Budget and the iterative methodology described in subparagraph (A)(i).

"(ii) LINK WITH GEOGRAPHIC INDEX DATA REVISION.—The revision described in clause (i) shall be made effective concurrently with the application of the periodic review of the adjustment factors required under paragraph (1)(C) for California for 2012 and subsequent periods. Upon request, the Secretary shall make available to the public any county-level or MSA derived data used to calculate the geographic practice cost index.

- "(C) References to fee schedule Areas.—Effective for services furnished on or after January 1, 2010, for the State of California, any reference in this section to a fee schedule area shall be deemed a reference to an MSA in the State.".
- 23 (b) Conforming Amendment to Definition of 24 Fee Schedule Area.—Section 1848(j)(2) of the Social 25 Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395w(j)(2)) is amended by strik-

- 1 ing "The term" and inserting "Except as provided in sub-
- 2 section (e)(6)(C), the term".

 \bigcirc